SAFETY EVALUATION AND RESEARCH ON FRACTURE THREAD JOINT BASED ON FINITE ELEMENT CALCULATION AND SCANNING ELECTRON MICROSCOPY
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ABSTRACT: This paper makes an in-depth study on the fracture of the drill string connection thread joint, include electron microscope scanning and phase composition analysis, and find that there are serious defects at the internal of thread, there is potential fatigue fracture risk of for threaded joints. According to Farr formula and three–direction stress theory, considering the bending deformation of the drill string into the actual well hole, do the finite element simulation of stress state of thread joint, and find the stress of the connection thread part reaches the ultimate load of the material. In addition, the maximum stress occurs at bottom of the first tooth which accords with the actual fracture location. Comprehensive analysis reveals that the effect of cyclic bending load and internal defect of thread that leads to fatigue failure of connection thread and fracture.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Oil well tubing is widely used in the well test, fracture acidizing, water injection, oil production etc. underground work, it is the major force and power transmission components of oil well operation and production. In extended reach well and high angle deviated hole, oil well tubing mechanics behavior research has become one of the key problems to be solved[1,2].

When the string passes through curved section, bending deformation will lead to stress concentration at string shell. For this reason, some scholars and experts at home and abroad carried out researches on the fracture failures of threaded connection. Zhang J et al conducted failure analysis of the string with FEM, and carried out reliability evaluation of API thread of pipe joint and experimental study on stress field of thread button in the processing of make-up [3-5]. During the inevitable working condition of rotating and bending, API stress-relief grooves cannot fundamentally solve the fatigue fracture problem, its stress concentration factor and notch sensitivity factor are very high [6-8]. Domestic and international scholar interested on the issue of drilling tools material itself, and studying how the stress concentration factor and notch sensitivity factor changing with different of thread structure[9-11]. Tomoya Inoue, et al pointed out many joints appear fatigue crack at first in the last engaged threads, following with crack propagation, and leading to piercing or fracture at last, which providing theoretical basis for modifying the joint of drilling tools and improving their anti-fatigue life [12-14].

On the basis of previous studies, this paper studies the fracture failure of special thread connection, and drown lessons from foreign scholars on the fracture analysis method [15,16], in addition, carried out the safety evaluation of special thread connection, which provided an effective way to judge the fatigue crack growth of the thread under the condition of stress concentration.

2 STRUCTURE AND WORKING PRINCIPLE OF PDM

PDM positive displacement motor (abbreviation PDM) drill has become the most widely used downhole tool in oil drilling engineering. As shown in Fig.1, the PDM is made of four major components, i.e., outlet valve assembly, motor assembly, cardan shaft assembly and drive shaft assembly. In the working process of the PDM, the stator is fixed, and the rotor is driven by the high-pressure drilling fluid to move around the axis of the stator. The cardan shaft assembly transmits the planetary motion to the drive shaft and the drive shaft drives PDC bit to rotate. When drilling in or circulating drilling fluid, the outlet valve is closed
and the drilling fluid enters into the motor. The PDM is the tool that converts hydraulic energy of the drilling fluid into mechanical energy of bit, which breaks the rock and drills in.

**Fig. 1 3D visualization graphic of positive displacement motor**

It is about range of 1420-1433m in the well hole and at last 70.5min, the torque values fluctuate in the range of 7-16kN·m, which is at 5-7min/m in the drilling process, which is the normal drilling of the well section. The depth of combine drilling is about at 1433.59m, pump pressure from the initial 18-19Mpa suddenly rose to 25Mpa, then turn off the pump and heave the string upwards immediately, single pump circulation and maintains pump pressure at 15Mpa, and cannot arrive the bottom, which can judge downhole anomaly, after drawing out the drill string and find the connection thread broken down in the well, the specific working parameters of the drill string are shown in Table 1.

**Fig.2 Fracture of cardan shaft shell in the oil field**

We could also find the fracture connection thread from Fig.2, the stress distribution of drill string is complex in the rotary and direction drilling process, so the stress of tensile, bending was one of the important factors, and twisting is an important factor in the wear and failure of drilling tool joints leading to failure.

3 **FRACTURE ANALYSIS**

3.1 Fracture macroscopic inspection analysis

Through checking the connecting threads, the fracture position was corresponding to location of the first tooth. The profile of fracture was a flat vertical section, where the clearance of top and bottom of two teeth was large, and fracture along 45° direction, more than one-second of the fracture range showed the characteristics of shear failure, as shown in Fig.3.

**Fig. 3 Disposition and number of cracks**

3.2 Scanning electron microscopy analysis of fracture

Scanning electron microscopy of the 1# sample: it is found that there was obvious tearing trace near the bottom of thread. According to this, we could judge the crack initiation site is mainly composed of dimples, the middle part of the crack and the quasi cleavage of the tail, which showed that there was a certain brittleness in the area as shown in Fig.4.

**Fig. 4 Quasi cleavage morphology and dimples in the 1# crack surface**

Scanning electron microscopy of the 2 # sample: the fracture surface has been worn in a large area, there are a large number of micro cracks on the joint surface of the cone, and the fracture plane there was a clear large dimple morphology area on the tail of crack, which proved its earlier break as shown in Fig.5.

**Fig. 5 Quasi cleavage and dimple morphology area in the 2# crack surface**

3.3 Phase composition analysis of fracture

As shown in Fig.6, specimen 1# has no over standard inclusions (there is a 0.05mm long undeformed inclusion), there is a 0.1mm long crack along the fracture edge with a gray matter (about
0.03mm long) as shown in Fig.6 (a), there is a 0.15mm long crack at the root edge of the thread, and there is no abnormal structure on both sides of the crack. The microstructure of 1# samples are sorbite and ferrite as shown in Fig.6 (b).

![Fig. 6 Optical micrographs and Compositional tissue fractograph of specimen 1#](image)

As shown in Fig.7, there are no inclusions exceeding the standard in specimen 2# [17], and there are several notches on the outer layer of the root edge of the thread beside the fracture (the deepest part is about 0.12mm) as shown in Fig. 7(a). The microstructure of 2# samples are sorbite and ferrite as shown in Fig.7 (b).

![Fig. 7 Optical micrographs and Compositional tissue fractograph of specimen 2#](image)

The following conclusions can be obtained by means of metallographic observation and composition analysis:

1) 1# and 2# crack metallographic observation two crack depth is bigger, the depth of 1# crack is 0.78-1.38mm, the depth of 2# crack is 1.0-1.5mm. If there is no rapid extension and fracture, connection thread will also likely to break from 1# and 2# crack. Just because the main crack is weaker and priority to destruction, in addition, the stress release is focused on this position, which cause 1# and 2# cracks stop to expanding, and it is also proved that 1# and 2# crack are not the main crack secondary crack, but the initiation time is similar to the main crack.

2) There is no oxidation or decarburization layer from the 1#, 2# cracks metallographic observation (It is possible that the thread is cut off, but the possibility is very small). Two cracks are only along the base of tooth, it is not extended to the teeth lateral side or teeth top, which is consistent with the stress concentration, however, the crack propagation of heat treatment is random. Therefore, it is possible to exclude the possibility of heat treatment.

### 3.4 Chemical composition analysis

The fracture surface of thread joint of cardan shaft shell in Fig.3 is sampled for material testing. The chemical composition of cardan shaft shell is tested and analyzed by using HCS-140 high frequency infrared sulfur carbon analyzer. It can be found that the composition of cardan shaft shell sample mainly includes 12 elements through Inductively Coupled Plasma atomic emission spectrometer (ICP-AES), such as C, Si, Mn, P, S, Ni, Cr, Mo, Cu, N, O, H, as shown in Table 2. It can be found from the test results that the contents of harmful elements S and P are controlled within the standard allowable ranges [18].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Cr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>~0.43</td>
<td>~0.37</td>
<td>~0.60</td>
<td>~0.80</td>
<td>≤0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection (1#)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.0093</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection (2#)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**3.5 Mechanical properties test**

A 200 mm specimen was sectioned from the cardan shaft shell close to 10 mm from the fracture, and it’s tensile and impact mechanical properties are tested.

Tensile Standard for 40CrMo Specimens: Tensile strength (≥21080 MPa), yield strength (≥830 MPa), fracture elongation (≥ 12%), reduction of area (≥ 45%) and impact toughness (≥ 63J/cm2) all meet the standard requirements as shown in Table 3 and Table 4. The tensile test result is lower than the standard requirements, and the impact energy of impact test meets the standard requirements [19].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Tensile strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Yield strength Rp0.2(MPa)</th>
<th>Max force KN</th>
<th>Fracture elongation δ (%)</th>
<th>Reduction of Area ψ(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1#</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2#</td>
<td>1008</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3#</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4#</td>
<td>986</td>
<td>798</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5#</td>
<td>995</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4 Test results of Charpy impact test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Pre-</th>
<th>Impact energy/</th>
<th>Impact toughness/Ak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>92.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>74.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>82.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>69.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>78.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>92.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 FINITE ELEMENT MECHANICAL MODEL OF SPECIAL CONNECTION THREAD

4.1 Judgment criteria of the strength of the thread

Based on the structure and dimensions of special connection thread developed, the finite element mechanical model of special connection thread, in the model, contact pressure after thread on is suffered by external shoulder plane. The contact pressure is \( P \). Their value can be calculated by Farr formula, given by (1), which is recommended by API RP7G standards [9].

\[
P = \frac{12T_n}{h + \frac{R_f f}{\cos \theta} + R_s f} \tag{1}
\]

Where, \( P \) is contact pressure between the shoulders \( kN \), \( T_n \) is make up torque, \( kN \cdot m \), \( h \) is thread pitch, \( mm \). \( R_f \) is average radius of thread, \( mm \). \( f \) is friction coefficient among the connection shoulder. \( R_s \) is connection shoulder average radius, \( mm \). \( \theta \) is thread half angle, \( ^\circ \).

Thread connection also need to follow the fourth strength theory, and definition of yield strength of Von Mises (the fourth strength theory) could also be written:

\[
\frac{1}{2} \left[ (\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)^2 + (\sigma_2 - \sigma_3)^2 + (\sigma_3 - \sigma_1)^2 \right] = \sigma_s \tag{2}
\]

or

\[
\sigma_1 - \sigma_3 = \sqrt{\sigma^2 + 3\tau^2} \leq [\sigma_s] \tag{3}
\]

Among them, \( \sigma_1 \) is the first principal stress, \( \sigma_s \) is the limiting value for yield strength and \( \sigma_3 \) is the third principal stress.

4.2 Calculation model of the special thread

The material of the threaded connection is 42CrMo, the elastic modulus \( E \) of which is 206,000, Poisson’s ratio is 0.3, yield stress \( \sigma_y \) is 945 MPa, tensile strength \( \sigma_b \) is 1020 MPa, and coefficient of friction is 0.12. Based on the above structure and material properties, the isotropic bilinear elastic-plastic material model may be established. The C3DSR octahedral element is used for meshing the model, and for the reasonable refinement of the element at the thread turns. The finite element mesh and design model are shown in Fig. 9 and Fig. 10. Loading conditions: fixed constraints are applied at one end of the thread, and make-up torque and bending moment are loaded at the other end.

\[\text{Fig.9 Matching model of special thread teeth} \]

\[\text{Fig.10 Finite Element Model of special connection thread} \]

4.3 The analysis of calculation results

According to Farr formula and the fourth strength theory, it is mainly obtained three-dimensional stress through the finite element calculation. According to the data provided on site and the size of thread, the three direction Von Mises stress \((S_{11}, S_{22}, S_{33})\) is obtained under bending load as shown in Fig. 11. The range of larger stress was 250-1068MPa, among them, the value of \( S_{11} \) is the largest, which is 1068 MPa, this value is the limited stress load, and it was easy to occur stress concentration and fatigue crack in this position.

\[\text{Fig.11 Von Mises three direction stress contour} \]

According to the analysis, it was found that Von Mises stress of internal thread and external thread in
the curvature of S22 and S33, which were far less than the yield strength of material, but the change of S11 was relatively larger. In addition, this paper studied the Von Mises stress curve of thread under the curvatures well hole. Fig. 12 is the S11, S33 and S22 of the first tooth in the circumferential direction of teeth, the stress changes from small to large and then from large to small. The average stress change of two teeth is not different. The S33 of the first tooth in the circumferential direction of teeth, the stress changes from small to large and then from large to small, the average stress change of two teeth is not different. Relative to S11 and S22, stress values of S33 have a stable period, and the change of the first tooth stress was almost the same as that of the second teeth.

The contact pressure of thread section from the following Fig.14 and Fig.15, it is found that the contact pressure of the first tooth exceeds the allowable stress of the material, where is the most destructive position and the actual last breakout place.

5 SAFETY EVALUATION OF SPECIAL THREAD CONNECTION

5.1 Analysis of stress concentration factor and notch fatigue sensitivity coefficient

Notch stress concentration factor $K_r$ is defined as the ratio between the maximum local elastic stress $\sigma_{max}$ and the nominal stress $\sigma_0$, shown in equation (4). However, for a simple thread structure, $K_r$ can be obtained by equation (5).

$$K_r = \frac{\sigma_{max}}{\sigma_0} \quad (4)$$

$$K_r = 1 + 2 \sqrt{\frac{t}{\rho}} \quad (5)$$

Where, $K_r$ is the stress concentration factor, $t$ is depth of tooth, $\rho$ is fillet radius of connection root. However, for some complicated thread structure, $K_r$ can be calculated by equation (4), which $\sigma_{max}$ could be obtained by finite element analysis method.

Stress concentration has a significant impact on fatigue strength [13]. However, it is not enough to depict the impact only by the theoretical stress concentration coefficient. Some researchers put
forward fatigue notch sensitive coefficient $K_f$, which presents that a coefficient of notch affects fatigue strength, also known as fatigue stress concentration coefficient or deterioration of fatigue strength coefficient. It can be calculated by equation (6).

$$K_f = \frac{S_y}{S_w}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Where, $S_y$ is the fatigue strength of smooth sample, $S_w$ is the fatigue strength of notch sample.

Experimental study shows that, material plastic property is one of main reason for affecting fatigue notch sensitive coefficient $K_f$. A material with good ductility, $K_f$ is much less than $K_s$, which means fatigue strength is insensitive to notch. However, for brittle materials, $K_f$ is close to $K_s$, that means fatigue strength is sensitive to notch. Based on the model of average stress, R.E. Peterson assumed stress decreased linearly inwards from notch position, shown in Fig. 16.

![Fig.16 Sketch diagram of thread notch](image)

Considering lower stress material bracing effects on high stress material, fatigue damage occurred when average stress of notch root a position equal to or more than fatigue strength of smooth sample. The relationship between $K_f$ and $K_s$ is shown as equation (7).

$$K_f = 1 + \frac{K_s - 1}{1 + \frac{a}{\rho}}$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

Where, $\rho$ is radius of notch root, $a$ is material constant, for quenched and tempered steel $a=0.635$ mm, and for normalizing steels $a=0.254$ mm.

Stress concentration coefficient of simple thread structure can be obtained by equation (5), in theory. However, actually threads structure of drilling tools joint is very complex in petroleum industry. Their notch stress concentration coefficient $K_f$ cannot be calculated by equation (5) anymore. Therefore, in this paper, we first get the maximum stress of threads notch $\sigma_{max}$ by the method of finite element. Then, their stress concentration coefficient is obtained by equation (4). Finally, their fatigue notch sensitive coefficient is got by equation (7).

### 5.2 Damaging test experimentation

The connection thread is easy to be scratched in the process of normal disassembly as shown in Fig. 17, which will cause the teeth to be miss and scratched.

![Fig.17 The teeth missing and scratching](image)

### 6 CONCLUSION

Based on the relevant theories, field data and experimental results, some conclusions and knowledge are available as follows:

1) Through scanning electron microscopy and phase composition analysis of fracture, it is found that there is material defect at thread fracture, moreover, micro cracks are blunted through bifurcation, which lead to the crack growth rate decreases. It can be exclude the cause of heat treatment, we could judge the source area of crack fracture through these results.

2) This will add to the thread part of a quenching and tempering treatment, it is bound to affect the crystal structure of the thread, and there is no standard specification for fastening and disassembly process will cause the thread of the buckle, lack of teeth, squeezing, and affect the life of connection thread inevitably.

3) In the normal drilling and stopping process, there is no human factor in the operation and use of errors, the material strength of connection thread of the string is within a reasonable range, the results of experimental tests and finite element analysis show that the material has begun to yield, and then subjected to underground vibration, bending, torsion, compression and other composite load, it is necessary to form the fatigue crack from the maximum stress interval.

4) The finite element calculation of the motion state of the drill string in the 3D well, the results of
Von Mises three direction stress prove that the stress state of the connection thread is consistent with the fourth strength theory and Farr formula.

5) It is find that lower stress material bracing effects on high stress material, fatigue damage occurred when average stress of notch root a position equal to or more than fatigue strength of smooth sample. Therefore, the correctness of the formula of stress concentration factor and notch fatigue sensitivity coefficient of connection thread is verified.
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